Exhibit 7

Response to Motion to Discontinue

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF BRONX

GMAC MORTGAGE CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS MOTION TO DISDefendants.

Defendants.

OUT PREJUDICE

Defendant states the following pursuant to CPLR 2106:

- 1. that defendant answered the above named action and complaint.
- that alleged plaintiff filed including this action four times a complaint
 previously in three separate foreclosure actions. Further alleged plaintiff filed
 four times four Lis Pendens in the County Record Office.
- that plaintiff disturbed, harassed with all these actions defendant causing unnecessary harm.
- that all these previous actions were voluntarily dismissed by plaintiffs counsel in this court.
- that robo signing, fraud and forgeries of legal supporting paperwork was used from alleged plaintiff in the foreclosure proceedings.
- 6. that the previous law firm Steven J. Baum, P.C., Buffalo NY handeled the foreclosure action, which committed crimes/fraud/forgeries and was shut down by the US Department of Justice, US Attorney Office and the New York State Attorney General Office.
- that GMAC Mortgage Corporation does not own the mortgage and the note and based their suit on fraudulent paperwork.



8. that Litton Loan Servicing, L.P., Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC are a part of the Wall Street entity Goldman Sachs, which were scheming borrowers and investors and using non performing worthless notes in securitization pools, cashing in from various proceeds as from insurances and the FDIC. On top of that they cashed in mortgage payments, fees and interest for these loans.

 that the mortgage documents are defective and the instrument violates the United States Constitution, as to make borrowers/ victims mortgage money paying slaves.

10. that MERS in the mortgage instrument is named as the nominee and mortgagee. But by closer review of these documents emerges that MERS is also the Lender and a Loss Payee. Loss Payee is not disclosed in the instrument, which violates the Federal Disclosure Act.

THEREFORE for the above named reason, defendant is asking this

TO DISMISS THIS ACTION WITH PREJUDICE

Dated: April 2, 2013

Teddy Halstead

Mailed to:

court:

Knuckles Komosinski & Elliot, LLP 565 Taxter Road, Suite 590

Elmsford NY 10523

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK Bronx County Grand Concourse Bronx NY Index No. 380678/12 FILED 42(120201mg robo 7795/ Field 11/21/14 Entered 11/21/14 15:22:47 Exhibit 7 Pg 5 of 6

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF COUNTY OF	NEW YORK
GMAC Mortgage Corpor	ction, Index No. 380678/12
Vs. Teddy Halstead	AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE
Defendants.	
	residing at 872 aust 216 m
street	being over the age of eighteen (18) years and
Not a party in this action/suit, deposes and	d sys:
That on the S day of April	, 2013, I mailed by USPS in a post
wrapped envelope the Response to plaint	iffs motion to dismiss this action with prejudice
to plaintiffs counsel	
	Signature: Tristan Halstead
Sworn before me on the	
day of	
My Sulsine	ROY S. ISKAEL
NOTARY PUBLIC	Notary Public, State of New York

Qualified in Bronx County Commission Expires 2 7 3, 2014 FILED API 12020 1 ABRODO CATOS PLETILED 11/21/14 Entered 11/21/14 15:22:47 Exhibit 7
Pg 6 of 6

380678/12 Gruac Mortgage, UC Discontinue of Stinson fs